

ND

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Below Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

26.7 7.5 50.1 7.5 50.3 12 44.9 13 15.8 16.8 16.8 19 17.7

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Mathematics

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	40	188	62
Percent satisfied with learning environment	81.6%	63.8%	83.1%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	84.6%	67.0%	62.7%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	63.2%	81.3%	79.0%

Starr-Iva Middle								403025
PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR							de de la constante de la const
	,	nt 1st ting	/ 6 /	Casic /	/.,,	Proficient	/ Ked	cient and
	JIK	K. Les	(ester /	OND	Basic oh	Orofil.	pdyar, St	cie, Malica
	Englis	ayo' Testing	lested olo Bi	old Basic	0/0	0/0	Advanced of Profi	dent arce
A.II. 4				igiisii/Lai	•	rts		
All students	647	99.7	30.7	50.3	17.8	1.2	19.0	17.6
Gender	054	00.7	40.0	45.0	40.4	0.0	40.4	47.0
Male	351	99.7	40.8	45.9	13.1	0.3	13.4	17.6
Female	296	99.7	19.3	55.3	23.3	2.2	25.5	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	565	99.6	28.7	50.6	19.4	1.4	20.7	17.6
White African-American		100.0	43.8	50.0	6.3	N/A	6.3	17.6
Airican-American Asian/Pacific Islander	71	100.0	43.0 N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	2	100.0	N/A N/A		N/A		N/A N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	6		N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	17.6
Disability Status	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Not disabled	F20	99.8	25.6	51.8	21.1	1.4	22.6	17.6
Not disabled Disabled	530							
Migrant Status	117	99.1	56.7	42.3	1.0	N/A	1.0	17.6
Migrant Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant		99.7	30.8	50.2	17.9	1.2	19.0	17.6
English Proficiency	647	99.7	30.6	30.2	17.9	1.2	19.0	17.0
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	647	99.7	30.7	50.3	17.8	1.2	19.0	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	047	33.1	30.1	30.3	17.0	1.2	19.0	17.0
Subsidized meals	345	99.4	35.2	51.5	13.0	0.3	13.3	17.6
Full-pay meals	302	100.0	26.0	49.0	22.9	2.1	25.0	17.6
,	1 002	100.0	20.0	1 -10.0	1 22.0		1 20.0	1 17.0
				Mathe	matics			
All students	647	99.8	26.7	50.1	15.8	7.5	23.3	15.5
Gender	011							
Male	351	99.7	29.4	47.6	15.0	8.0	23.0	15.5
Female	296	100.0	23.6	52.9	16.7	6.9	23.6	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	565	99.8	23.4	51.6	17.1	7.9	25.0	15.5
African-American	71	100.0	50.0	39.1	6.3	4.7	10.9	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	6	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	530	100.0	22.4	50.0	18.7	8.9	27.6	15.5
Disabled	117	99.1	48.5	50.5	1.0	N/A	1.0	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	647	99.8	26.5	50.2	15.8	7.5	23.3	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	647	99.8	26.7	50.1	15.8	7.5	23.3	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								

22.9

49.0

18.4

9.7

28.1

15.5

99.7 100.0

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

Grade 8

201

100.0

triding of testics olo Profile Handerleed o/o Belon Basic o/o Advanced olo Proficient olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 228 39.8 35.4 N/A 24.8 31.4 4.0 Grade 7 193 54.7 N/A 24.2 20.5 0.5 21.1 Grade 8 202 N/A 30.5 50.0 16.0 3.5 19.5 Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 229 99.6 35.3 39.8 22.9 2.0 24.9 Grade 7 217 26.9 51.2 20.9 1.0 99.5 21.9

29.9

60.4

0.5

9.6

9.1

	Mattheway Co.										
		Mathematics									
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
20	Grade 6	228	N/A	25.2	50.4	19.9	4.4	24.3			
	Grade 7	193	N/A	33.7	45.8	12.1	8.4	20.5			
•	Grade 8	202	N/A	41.5	50.5	5.5	2.5	8.0			
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
20	Grade 6	229	99.6	26.5	46.0	19.5	8.0	27.5			
	Grade 7	217	100.0	23.8	46.0	18.3	11.9	30.2			
	Grade 8	201	100.0	29.9	58.8	9.1	2.1	11.2			

SCHOOL PROFILE

C	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 650)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	5.2%	Down from 5.5%	13.2%	14.4%
Retention rate	4.2%	Up from 2.7%	2.6%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	94.5%	Down from 95.4%	95.0%	95.2%
	10.9%	Up from 10.6%	13.7%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	17.8%	Up from 16.2%	14.9%	14.1%
	5.4%	Up from 4.0%	5.4%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	2.5%	Down from 5.2%	1.6%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.2%	Up from 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 39)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	25.6%	Up from 18.4%	45.6%	47.1%
	87.2%	Up from 68.4%	82.9%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	79.6%	Up from 76.6%	85.9%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.9%	Down from 95.9%	94.9%	95.0%
	\$37,897	Up 3.4%	\$39,106	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	17.9 days	Up from 13.0 days	11.3 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	3.5	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	22.6 to 1	Down from 22.8 to 1	21.5 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.3%	Down from 89.9%	88.6%	88.9%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$4,773	Down 0.2%	\$5,752	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	63.8%	Up from 61.5%	61.9%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	100.0%	No change	96.1%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbrevia	ations	for M	liccina	Data
ADDIEVI	1เเบเเร	IOI IV	เเรรแเน	Dala

					Ū			
N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The mission of Starr-Iva Middle School, a growing and diverse family dedicated to educational excellence, is to prepare students academically and socially for the completion of high school by offering a challenging and innovative curriculum in a safe and stimulating environment, guided by a dedicated staff and a supportive community.

Starr-Iva Middle School strives to educate the "whole child." We seek to instill in our students respect for themselves as well as others and to promote the values accepted by our society. We feel our main purpose is to prepare our students academically. Building on previously acquired skills, we work with our students on developing critical thinking skills and applying their knowledge in solving more challenging problems.

We use a variety of methods and materials to give our students the knowledge they need to be successful in all academic areas. Math teachers use Visual Math and hands-on activities to meet individual student needs. Language Arts teachers have been trained to use the Four-Block Literacy Model to deliver content. Language arts, math, science, and social studies instruction is guided by state standards. Technology is utilized in each content area to enhance instruction.

Starr-Iva Middle School provides opportunities for students to make educational choices and work independently; however, we continue to supervise their work and monitor their academic and social skills. We believe in maintaining contact with parents and enlisting their assistance to assure educational success for each student.

The faculty and staff at Starr-Iva Middle School are united in our efforts to develop our students socially, emotionally, and intellectually. A combined effort from a dedicated faculty and staff, concerned parents, and a supportive community assures that we are assisting students in reaching their full potential.

Nancy Brown Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.